





THE POTENTIAL OF LUNAR AND MARTIAN REGOLITH SIMULANTS AS PLANT GROWTH MEDIA

Antonio G. Caporale, Youssef Rouphael, Mario Palladino, Stefania De Pascale, Paola Adamo

Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Portici, Italy





SUSTAINABLE BLSS, BASED ON ISRU STRATEGY



Space farming based on local resource exploitation is a promising strategy for food production on extra-terrestrial habitats (Ramírez et al., 2019)

all and a second second

An efficient bioregenerative life support systems (BLSS) must be capable of purifying water, revitalising the atmosphere, and producing food in a closed loop system (Llorente et al., 2018)



This can also be accomplished through situ in resource (ISRU), utilization which requires the use of native materials and primary as waste resources (Karl et al., 2018)





EXTRA-TERRESTRIAL REGOLITH OR SOIL?





Using the local regolith as 'soil' for plant growth would be a viable way to grow food, even though 'extraterrestrial soil' is very different from vital and fertile 'terrestrial soil'



Soil Taxonomy defines soil as 'a natural body that comprised solids (minerals and organic matter), liquid, and gases that occur on the land surface, occupies space, and is characterised by one or both of the following: horizons, or layers, that are distinguishable from the initial material as a result of additions, losses, transfers, and transformations of energy and matter or the ability to support rooted plants in a natural environment' (Soil Survey Staff, 2014)



EXTRA-TERRESTRIAL REGOLITH OR SOIL?







Regolith does not have associated organic matter or a microbiome. In theory, regolith can be classified as soil if it has undergone the same processes that the Earth-based parent material undergoes to become soil

The surface deposits on planetary and other celestial bodies should be considered soils in a pedological sense (Certini et al., 2009). Lunar and Martian soils are made exclusively by inorganic minerals, are sterilised, and the Mars ones contain perchlorate salts, which can be accumulated by plants at a level considered toxic to humans



LUNAR AND MARTIAN REGOLITH SIMULANTS



To develop a simulant, it is crucial to find terrestrial rocks (generally from desertic areas) with mineralogical and chemical compositions similar to those of Lunar and Martian regoliths. Commercial simulants contain many minerals of these regoliths, but often lack some minor phases, such as phosphates, sulfides and phyllosilicates

MMS Rock	
MMS Dust MMS Sand	

	Martian re	egolith							Martian simu	lants
	Viking Lan	nders	Pathfinder		MER Spirit	t	MER Oppo	ortunity	JSC	MMS
	VL-1	VL-2	"Soil"	SFR	"Soil"	Rocks	"Soil"	Bounce	Mars-1	
Concentratio	n in wt%									
SiO ₂	43	43	42.0	57.7	45.8	45.6	43.8	50.8	43.48	49.4
TiO ₂	0.66	0.56	0.8	0.50	0.81	0.55	1.08	0.78	3.62	1.09
Al ₂ O ₃	7.3	(7) ^a	10.3	12.3	10.0	10.6	8.6	10.1	22.09	17.1
Cr_2O_3	-	-	0.3	-	0.35	0.56	0.46	0.12	0.03	0.05
Fe ₂ O ₃	18.5	17.8	21.7						16.08	10.87
FeO			14.2	15.8	17.8	22.3	15.6			
MnO	-	-	0.3	0.5	0.31	0.38	0.36	0.43	0.26	0.17
MgO	6	(6) ^a	7.3	0.8	9.3	10.7	7.1	6.4	4.22	6.08
CaO	5.9	5.7	6.1	6.7	6.10	7.50	6.67	12.5	6.05	10.45
Na ₂ O	-	-	2.8	4.2	3.3	3.0	1.6	1.3	2.34	3.28
K ₂ O	< 0.15	< 0.15	0.6	1.2	0.41	0.15	0.44	0.10	0.70	0.48
P ₂ O ₅	-	-	0.7	0.4	0.84	0.67	0.83	0.95	0.78	0.17
SO ₃	6.6	8.1	6.0	0.25	5.82	1.79	5.57	0.52	0.31 ^b	0.10
CI	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.53	0.23	0.44	0.06	-	-
loi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(17.36)	(3.39)
Total	89	89	99.8	99.2	99.4	99.6	99.3	99.7	99.7	99.4
Mean of	3	3	7	5	11	3	8	1	2 ^b	9
Method	X-ray fluo	rescence		Alp	oha Particle X-ra	y Spectrometer	(APXS)		XRF	ICP-AE
Source	(Banin et a	(Banin et al., 1992)		l., 2003)	(Gellert et	al., 2004)	(Rieder et	(Morris et al.,	2000)	

This limitation can be overcome by the exogenous addition of minerals. However, it is difficult to replicate all regolith physicochemical and hydraulic properties in a single simulant



The total amount of many essential elements, such as Ca, K and Mg, may be more than adequate to satisfy the requirements for plant growth in simulants. However, plants generally take up only the bioavailable forms of elements (such as the readily soluble and exchangeable forms), and not the elements occluded in mineral structures that are released only after mineral weathering



Nutrient bioavailability in soil is governed by the pseudo-equilibrium between aqueous and solid phases. Factors such as pH, redox potential, electrical conductivity (EC), texture, type and relative abundance of fine solid particles play a key role

SURVEY IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF



DEFICIENCY AND AMENDMENT OF SIMULANTS



Simulants lack/are poor of key nutrients for plants deriving exclusively (N), mostly (P and S) or partly (Ca, K, Mg, Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, B and Cl) from the degradation of organic matter. Micronutrients are generally occluded in accessory minerals in trace concentrations



The addition of organic amendments (e.g., compost or manure) to Lunar and Martian simulants may provide missing nutrients and enhance the bioavailability of essential nutrients. This practice can also aid in pH adjustment and have positive effects on microbial rhizosphere activity and nutrient biogeochemical cycles, as well as on physico-hydraulic properties





REBUS PROJECT - WP1200

WP1200 aims at defining possible strategies capable of making the Lunar and Martian regolith simulants suitable substrates for the growth of food crops

Team: Paola Adamo, Antonio G. Caporale, Mario Palladino, Roberta Paradiso

Task 1: assessment of mineralogical, chemical and physico-hydraulic properties of commercial simulants

Task 2: chemical characterisation of a possible space organic-waste amendment (biodegradated as well by fungi, bacteria and larvae) and commercial analogous amendments such as monogastric-based manure and green compost

Task 3: preparation, chemical and physico-hydraulic characterisation of simulant/amendment mixtures at different rates

Task 4: plant growth experiments with lettuce (source of fibers), potato (carbohydrates), soia (proteins) and microgreens (antioxidants), and nutritional quality assessment

ASSAULTER TO A



MMS-1 Mojave Mars Simulant



Bulk Density: 1.30 g/cm3 Particle Size Range: 0-1 mm

Mean Particle Stree 94 mi





















TASK 1: MINERALOGICAL AND PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SIMULANTS



MMS-1 Mojave Mars

MMS-1 Mojave Mars Simulant was developed in 2007 by NASA and

JPL Scientists working on the Mars Phoenix mission. It's still used today to develop future missions, support rover operations, and

We source high-quality, iron-rich basalt from the exact same deposits used by the JPL. Whole rocks are crushed to grades ranging from dust to gravel, sift-separated into several grades,

Simulant

conduct planetary science research.

then packed and vacuum sealed.

from \$29.99

	LHS-1	MMS-1
Plagioclase (Anorthite, %)	71 ± 1.0	57 ± 0.8
Kaolinite (%)	1.6 ± 0.1	
Chlorite (%)	3.5 ± 0.1	
Zeolite (Clinoptilolite) (%)		12 ± 0.2
Hematite (%)		3.0 ± 0.1
Smectite (%)		<1.0
Amorphous Residue (%)	24 ± 0.3	28 ± 0.4





STERN BERKENNER BERKENNEN

	LHS-1	MMS-1
SiO ₂ (%)	42 ± 1.4	57.3 ± 1.3
$Al_2O_3(\%)$	23 ± 0.3	12.9 ± 0.1
$Fe_2O_3(\%)$	3.7 ± 0.9	9.1 ± 1.0
CaO (%)	11 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 0.9
K ₂ O (%)	0.4 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.4
MgO (%)	2.8 ± 0.2	4.1 ± 0.3
MnO (%)	0.1 ± 0.05	0.1 ± 0.04
Na ₂ O (%)	3.6 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 0.8
$P_2O_5(\%)$	0.1 ± 0.04	0.2 ± 0.06
TiO ₂ (%)	0.6 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2
SO ₃ (%)	0.1 ± 0.02	
Loss of ignition (%)	1.4 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.2
Ba (mg kg ⁻¹)	297 ± 25	566 ± 16
Cr (mg kg ⁻¹)	66 ± 11	
Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	25 ± 7.1	31 ± 4
Ni (mg kg ⁻¹)	79 ± 6.8	116 ± 14
Rb (mg kg ⁻¹)	4.0 ± 1.2	45 ± 2
Sr (mg kg ⁻¹)	219 ± 9.3	283 ± 2
Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	18 ± 1.0	52 ± 3
Zr (mg kg ⁻¹)	41 ± 1.2	292 ± 2





🥌 🎚 📗 👫 🥌 🥌 觸

Source LHS-1 → Caporale et al., 2023 - J Environ Manage 325: 116455, 10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116455

Source MMS-1 → Caporale et al., 2020 - Sci Total Environ 720: 137543, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137543



TASK 2: ELEMENTAL PROFILE AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF AMENDMENTS



С	Н	Ν	S	H/C	C/N	Al	Ca	Fe	K	Mg	Mn	Na	Р	As	Cd	Cr (Cu	Mo	Ni	Pb	Sn	V	
	%)							g	kg-1								mg kg	-1				
23.5 ± 1.1	3.0 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1	11 ± 0.4	4.8 ± 0.5	73 ± 4.1	7.0 ± 0.4	18 ± 1.0	6.4 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.1	5.3 ± 0.2	7.8 ± 0.3					2.4 ± 0.1	16 ± 0.7	32 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 0.2	23 ± 0.4	
		N			CAL		.1		F	V	M	N						0	N	·			
С	Н	N	S	H/C	C/N		Al	Ca	Fe	K	Mg	Na	Р	As	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Ni	i P	Pb	V	7
С		N (%)	S	H/C	C/N		Al	Ca	Fe	K (g kg ⁻¹)	Mg	Na	Р	As	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu (mg k		i P	Pb	V	,
C 29.3 ±			S 0.1 ±	H/C				Ca 12.5 ±	Fe 4.7 ±		Mg 3.9 ±	Na 3.5 ±	P 2.6 ±	<u>As</u> 2.9 ±	Cd 0.2 ±	Co 2.3 ±	Cr 7.8 ±		g-1)			V 6.5 ±	9

TGA-DSC



Source Manure \rightarrow Caporale et al., 2023 - J Environ Manage 325: 116455, 10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116455

Source Compost → Caporale et al., 2020 - Sci Total Environ 720: 137543, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137543





TASK 3: PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF MMS-1 OR LHS-1 / MANURE MIXTURES



		MMS	S-1			LHS-1					
Mixture property	S	imulant : Mar	nure (w/w %)			Simulant : Ma	nure (w/w %)		Simulant		
	100:0	90:10	70:30	50:50	100:0	90:10	70:30	50:50	significance		
Coarse sand (>200 μ m - g kg ⁻¹)	650*	-	-	-	552	_	-	-	*		
Fine sand (200-20 µm - g kg ⁻¹)	260*	-	-	-	346	-	-	-	**		
Silt (20-2 µm - g kg ⁻¹)	65.0*	-	-	-	53.0	-	-	-	**		
Clay (<2 μ m - g kg ⁻¹)	25.0*	-	-	-	49.0	-	-	-	**		
pH in milliQ H ₂ O	8.86* a	8.88 a	8.96 a	8.94 a	9.67 a	9.32 a	9.41 a	9.30 a	**		
Electrical conductivity (dS m ⁻¹)	0.3* d	0.7 c	2.1 b	3.3 a	0.1 d	0.9 c	2.3 b	3.7 a	ns		
Organic C (g kg ⁻¹ DW)	0.2* d	14 c	38 b	85 a	0.1 d	15 c	39 b	86 a	ns		
Total N (g kg ⁻¹ DW)	0.1* d	1.7 c	4.2 b	10 a	<0.1 d	1.9 c	4.0 b	8.8 a	ns		
C/N ratio	2.7* c	8.4 b	9.1 a	8.4 b	2.0 c	8.3 b	9.7 a	9.8 a	ns		
Total S (g kg ⁻¹ DW)	<0.1 d	0.5 c	0.9 b	2.2 a	<0.1 d	0.6 c	1.0 b	2.2 a	ns		
Total carbonates (g kg ⁻¹ DW)	27.0*	-	-	-	14.1	-	-	-	**		
Cation exchange capacity (cmol(+) kg ⁻¹)	7.9* d	13 c	17 b	23 a	1.0 d	4.8 c	14 b	22 a	ns		
Exchangeable Ca (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	1034* b	1297 a	1307 a	1326 a	163 d	337 c	773 b	1181 a	*		
Exchangeable K (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	248* d	1027 c	1874 b	3222 a	9.0 d	574 c	2127 b	3340 a	ns		
Exchangeable Mg (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	106* d	216 c	304 b	401 a	16 d	84 c	255 b	395 a	ns		
Exchangeable Na (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	292* d	486 c	776 b	1109 a	18.3 d	214 c	682 b	1075 a	ns		

Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) among simulant:manure rates, while ns, *, ** indicates not significant or significant (* = p<0.05; ** = p<0.01) differences between MMS-1 and LHS-1 simulants, according to one-way ANOVA (Duncan's multiple-range post-hoc test). DW stands for dry weight. Number of replicates = 3. * Data from Caporale et al. 2020, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137543

CONTRACTOR STATE

Source → Caporale et al., 2023 - J Environ Manage 325: 116455, 10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116455



TASK 3: HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES OF MMS-1 OR LHS-1 / MANURE MIXTURES



LHS-1 0.7 \diamond 0.6 AN ANNEXT 8 0.5 STATE OF STATE \wedge ۳ 0.4 ۳ 0.4 ۳ 0.3 \bigtriangleup `_____ • 100:0 △ 90:10 0.2 + 70:30 0.1 ♦ 50:50 0.0 0.1 10 100 1 1000 matric potential (|cm|)





CTERES CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTO







Source → Caporale et al., 2023 - J Environ Manage 325: 116455, 10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116455



TASK 3: NUTRIENT BIOAVAILABILITY IN MMS-1 OR LHS-1 / MANURE MIXTURES



Element	MMS-1: Manure ((w/w %)			LHS-1: Manure (w	LHS-1: Manure (w/w %)						
	100:0	90:10	70:30	50:50	100:0	90:10	70:30	50:50				
	mg kg $^{-1}$ DW (% d	of total content)			mg kg $^{-1}$ DW (% of							
Ca	2111 a (6.0)°	1690 b (4.4)	1260 c (2.7)	1230 c (2.3)	518 c (0.8)	812 b (1.0)	1043 a (1.3)	1050 a (1.4)	*			
K	174 d (1.0)	1140 c (6.5)	3017 b (17)	5137 a (29)	6.6 d (0.04)	1390 c (32)	4216 b (<u>58</u>)	6998 a (<u>69</u>)	ns			
Mg	299 b (1.2)	353 a (1.5)	381 a (2.0)	368 a (2.4)	29 d (0.1)	126 c (0.8)	186 b (1.4)	208 a (1.8)	**			
Р	0.2 d (0.03)	25 c (1.6)	39 b (1.3)	53 a (1.2)	0.3 c (0.03)	58 ab (5.3)	54 b (2.1)	61 a (1.5)	ns			
Fe	<0.1 d (<0.01)	19 a (0.03)	7.3 c (0.02)	16 b (0.05)	0.2 d (<0.01)	6.8 c (0.03)	23 b (0.11)	31 a (0.19)	ns			
Na	1.4 d (<0.01)	4.4 c (0.02)	11 b (0.04)	18 a (0.10)	0.3 d (<0.01)	3.7 c (0.01)	11 b (0.05)	18 a (0.11)	ns			
Mn	<0.1 d (<0.01)	1.2 c (0.2)	1.8 b (0.3)	2.1 a (0.3)	1.9 c (0.2)	2.0 c (0.5)	2.7 b (0.6)	3.3 a (0.7)	ns			
Cu	0.04 d (0.1)	0.2 c (0.7)	1.3 b (2.7)	2.1 a (3.7)	0.2 d (0.8)	0.9 c (2.8)	1.5 b (3.5)	2.1 a (3.8)	ns			
Zn	0.01 d (0.03)	0.2 c (0.3)	0.8 b (1.0)	1.8 a (1.6)	0.2 d (0.5)	0.8 c (2.3)	1.5 b (2.3)	2.2 a (2.3)	ns			
Al	0.4 c (<0.01)	0.5 c (<0.01)	1.1 b (<0.01)	4.0 a (0.01)	0.9 c (<0.01)	10 b (0.01)	26 a (0.03)	26 a (0.04)	ns			
Cr	0.01 d (0.01)	0.04 c (0.1)	0.15 b (0.2)	0.24 a (0.4)	<0.01 d (<0.01)	0.08 c (0.1)	0.19 b (0.3)	0.26 a (0.5)	ns			
Ni	0.01 d (0.01)	0.12 c (0.1)	0.36 b (0.4)	0.54 a (0.8)	0.27 b (0.2)	0.11 c (0.2)	0.26 b (0.4)	0.36 a (0.8)	ns			
Pb	0.05 c (0.4)	0.05 c (0.4)	0.07 b (0.4)	0.13 a (0.6)	0.01 d (0.1)	0.03 c (0.6)	0.11 b (0.9)	0.14 a (0.8)	ns			
V	0.54 b (0.9)	0.68 a (0.9)	0.75 a (1.5)	0.72 a (1.7)	0.05 c (0.1)	0.28 b (0.5)	0.41 a (0.9)	0.45 a (1.1)	*			

Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) among simulant:manure rates, while ns, *, ** indicates not significant or significant (* = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01) differences between MMS-1 and LHS-1 simulants, according to one-way ANOVA (Duncan's multiple-range post-hoc test). DW stands for dry weight. ° Values in round brackets indicate the NH_4NO_3 -extractable fractions expressed as percentages of the total element contents.

Source → Caporale et al., 2023 - J Environ Manage 325: 116455, 10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116455

TASK 4: CROP GROWTH ON MMS-1 OR LHS-1 / MANURE OR COMPOST MIXTURES











0% 10% 30% 50%





Source → Caporale-Amato et al. - Plants (under review)



Source → Caporale-Paradiso et al. - Plant & Soil (under review)



Source → Caporale-Paradiso et al. -Plants (under review)

TASK 4: LETTUCE GROWTH ON MMS-1 / COMPOST MIXTURES









Source of Variance	NO ₃ (g kg ⁻¹ dw)		PO ₄ (g kg ⁻¹ dw)		K (g kg	K (g kg ⁻¹ dw)		Ca (g kg ⁻¹ dw)		Mg (g kg ⁻¹ dw)		g ⁻¹ dw)	Cl (g kg ⁻¹ dw)		SO ₄ (g kg ⁻¹ dw)	
	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root
Cultivar (C)																
Green Salanova	27.6	42.9 a	9.0	5.7	64.7	50.6	7.1	6.2	2.5	2.8	1.0	5.9	3.3	2.2	1.5 b	8.9
Red Salanova	30.4	28.8 b	10.4	7.5	71.7	44.6	6.2	6.0	2.5	2.9	1.0	5.2	3.1	1.9	2.5 a	9.4
Simulant:compost (v:v) (S)																
0:100	29.4 a	33.3 ab	11.2 a	8.7 a	82.7 a	69.2 a	4.8 c	5.6 ab	2.2 b	2.2 c	0.8 b	2.0 c	6.9 a	2.8 a	2.2 a	9.6 ab
30:70	32.5 a	24.9 b	11.9 a	7.3 a	75.2 b	48.4 b	6.4 b	6.7 a	2.4 b	2.5 bc	0.8 b	2.7 bc	2.0 b	1.7 b	2.3 a	9.3 b
70:30	32.4 a	43.6 a	9.5 b	7.3 a	69.5 c	57.4 b	6.9 b	6.6 a	2.3 b	3.0 b	0.9 b	4.8 b	2.0 b	2.0 b	2.0 a	11.1 a
100:0	21.7 b	41.6 a	6.2 c	2.9 b	45.2 d	15.5 c	8.5 a	5.3 b	3.2 a	3.9 a	1.7 a	12.8 a	1.7 b	1.9 b	1.4 b	6.6 c

Non-significant (ns). *, **, *** Significant at P 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively. Cultivar means were compared by t-test. Substrate mixture means and interaction were compared by Duncan's multiple-range test (P = 0.05). Different lowercase letters within each column indicate significant differences (P 0.05).

Source → Duri-El-Nakhel et al., 2020 - Plants 9: 628, 10.3390/plants9050628

ORGANO-MINERAL INTERACTIONS DURING THE FIRST STAGE OF TERRAFORMING





Stabilisation of exogeneous OM by minerals, including iron oxides, over time is of paramount importance for the survival of crops

Source → Giannetta-Zaccone et al. -SISS conference 2022

After potato plant growth, samples of each substrate were fractionated, obtaining particulate OM (POM) and mineral associated OM (MAOM), and characterised for total and organic carbon (OC) and for Fe K-edge X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS)



Mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM)



THE EFFECT OF RHIZODEPOSITION ON SUBSTRATE PARTICLE AGGREGATION







Source → Amato-Bochicchio et al. - SIA conference 2021

Aggregate stability index as affected by different percentages of root exudate analogue at increasing incubation times







CONCLUSIVE REMARKS



- Pure regolith simulants might be suitable media for plant growth (at least for limited periods) and function as a source of essential nutrients such as Ca, Mg, K. They lack OM and key macronutrients like N, P and S
- Simulants exhibit critical features for plant health, such as alkaline pH, high availability of Na, scarce cohesion of mineral components, predominance of large vs. micro pores, and scant water holding capacity
- A promising strategy is the adding of in situ recycled organic matter to enrich regolith simulants. This is a sustainable and effective technique to enhance the chemical and biological fertility and physico-hydraulic properties of regolith-based substrates
- ✓ Consecutive cycles of plant cultivation on the same regolith-based substrate can allow prolonged root exudation and the release of organic acid molecules and CO₂. This can lower the substrate pH, increase mineral weathering rates, enhance nutrient release/availability, promote particle aggregation (to form a more efficient porous system), and overall contribute to soil improvement









 \checkmark

FUTURE CHALLENGES



- Water movement and fluxes in regolith-based substrate/plant systems under partial- and micro-gravity. Water dynamics would regulate the extent of mineral weathering and the rate of organic matter decomposition, thus greatly affecting the biogeochemistry and bioavailability of nutrients and plant growth
- Effect of the space environment on plant physiology (e.g., the biophysical limitations on gas exchange and transpiration), and how this affects plant growth and productivity and substrate properties
- ✓ In sustainable space farming scenarios, regolith-based substrates are required to sustain plant growth throughout the plant life cycle, including the complete seed maturation needed for reproduction
- ✓ The presence of microorganisms and addition of biofertilisers/biostimulants will add further complexity to extraterrestrial BLSS
- ✓ The occurrence and remediation of perchlorates in the Mars regolith is a challenge to its use as growth medium











WP1200 - REVIEW PUBLICATION



frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences

REVIEW published: 04 January 2022 doi: 10.3389/fspas.2021.747821

The Potential for Lunar and Martian Regolith Simulants to Sustain Plant Growth: A Multidisciplinary Overview

Luigi Giuseppe Duri^{1†}, Antonio Giandonato Caporale¹*[†], Youssef Rouphael¹, Simona Vingiani^{1,2}, Mario Palladino¹, Stefania De Pascale¹ and Paola Adamo^{1,2}

¹Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Napoli, Italy, ²Interdepartmental Research Centre on the "Earth Critical Zone" for Supporting the Landscape and Agroenvironment Management (CRISP), University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

Duri-Caporale et al., 2022 - Front Astron Space Sci 8: 747821, 10.3389/fspas.2021.747821



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



- ✓ Melissa conference scientific and organising commettes, and session chairs
- ✓ ReBUS project, WP1200 leader (Prof. Adamo) and research team











2022 MELISSA CONFERENCE 8-9-10 NOVEMBER 2022

用而常常品語

www.melissafoundation.org

Follow us

THANK YOU.

Antonio G. Caporale University of Naples Federico II

ag.caporale@unina.it



2022 MELISSA CONFERENCE 8-9-10 NOVEMBER 2022







beyond gravity

ENGINSOFT

QINETIQ











2022 MELISSA CONFERENCE 8-9-10 NOVEMBER 2022



