

## Influence of air distribution system on hydroponically-grown lettuce crop performance in the higher plant compartment at MELiSSA Pilot Plant facilities

A. Pannico, S. Colleoni, E. Peiro, F. Godia, L. Bucchieri, Y. Rouphael, R. Paradiso and S. De Pascale









#### System overview

#### HPC @ MPP - System description:

- Higher Plant Compartment (HPC) at the Melissa Pilot Plant (MPP), installed in the facilities of Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain
- Closed plant growth chamber
- Dimensions: L x W x H ≈ 5.0 m x 1.0 m x 1.2 m
- Closed volume  $\approx$  6000 liters, Chamber growing surface  $\approx$  5.0 m<sup>2</sup>
- 20 trays with 5 lettuce plants each  $\rightarrow$  100 plants grown
- Closed loop hydroponic system  $\rightarrow$  NFT (Nutrient Film Technique)







# Air distribution – Schematic representation

- 3 Modules: A, B, C
- One blower located in the central region





#### Air management before the ACSA project

The air management system was unable to provide a homogeneous air distribution inside the chamber. The air flow was **partially unbalanced**, mainly directed in the central part.









CFD results on air velocity





3

2

#### Air management before the ACSA project

The air velocity gradient was considered a possible cause for the unbalanced crop growth within the chamber. Three different crop tests confirmed a reduced plant growth in the central region of the chamber. It is known that high local air velocities may lead to a mechanical stress on the crops.

Two identical crop tests carried out in 2010 Lettuce plant dry weight [g] vs. Crop position





ENGIN

esa

SOFT

le Barcelon



#### Additional components to modify the system

The redesign of the system to balance the air distribution was performed by means of a detailed 3D CFD study, carried out using state-of-the-art commercial software.

Additional components were inserted based on the CFD optimization.

#### 1. Stainless steel deflector in the plenum region







#### Additional components to modify the system

The redesign of the system to balance the air distribution was performed by means of a detailed 3D CFD study, carried out using state-of-the-art commercial software.

Additional components were inserted based on the CFD optimization.

#### 2. Stainless steel adjustable dampers (replacing fixed louvers)



As highlighted by the CFD study, the adjustable dampers were designed and installed in the chamber.



ENGIN

esa

SOFT

de Barcelon.



#### Additional components to modify the system

The redesign of the system to balance the air distribution was performed by means of a detailed 3D CFD study, carried out using state-of-the-art commercial software.

Additional components were inserted based on the CFD optimization.

#### 3. Closure of side gaps next to the baffle panels



From the study carried out, a high percentage of air flux was calculated across side gaps. Additional components were designed and installed to close them.







#### Additional components to modify the system

The redesign of the system to balance the air distribution was performed by means of a detailed 3D CFD study, carried out using state-of-the-art commercial software.

Additional components were inserted based on the CFD optimization.

#### 4. Redesign of the baffle panels





Again, the CFD investigation was used to balance the air flow returning to the HVAC system. New baffle panels were optimized in the CFD study, manufactured and assembled in the plant growth chamber.

ENGIN

eesa

SOFI

de Barcelon.



### Preparing the crop tests conditions

By means of the air management optimization, the system is now able to obtain a balanced or unbalanced air distribution inside the chamber.

Exploiting this new capability, two different tests were carried out:



#### ACSA TEST 1: BALANCED AIR DISTRIBUTION



ACSA TEST 2: UNBALANCED AIR DISTRIBUTION





#### **Crop tests conditions – ACSA Tests**

0.50 0.40 0.30 0.20 0.10 0.00 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

**ACSA TEST 1 - BALANCED CONDITIONS** 

Average [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.34
St. Dev. [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.05
St. Dev. [%]	14%

#### 0.50 0.40 0.30 0.20 0.10 0.00 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

Average [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.19
St. Dev. [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.16
St. Dev. [%]	85%

	MODULE	MODULE C				MODULE	В	MODULE A		
	TRAY NUMBER	1 2	3 4	5 6	7 8	9 10 11	12 13 14	15 16 17	18 19 20	
- 8	AVERAGE LOCAL VELOCITY	0.43	0.38	0.28	0.31	0.32	0.31 0	.31 0.41	0.33 0.33	
TEST BALANO	AIR VELOCITY MAPPING [m/s]	0.35	0.52	0.24	0.26	0.27 0.41	0.35 0.28 0.24 0.24	0.33 0.41 0.27	0.29 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.32	
2 VCED	AVERAGE LOCAL VELOCITY	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.09 0	.31 0.41	0.41 0.33	
TEST UNBALAN	AIR VELOCITY MAPPING [m/s]	0.03 0.08 0.08 0.06	0.03 0.08 0.04	0.04 0.11 0.05	0.05 0.08 0.05	0.07 0.11 0.06	0.08 0.39	0.46 0.56 0.59 0.59 0.59 0.59 0.59 0.59 0.59 0.59	0.20 0.26 0.26 0.39 0.39 0.33	

#### **ACSA TEST 2 - UNBALANCED CONDITIONS**

#### **Crop tests conditions – ACSA Tests**

Comparison of air velocity at tray height in the chamber

**MODULE C MODULE B MODULE A** 0.50 0.45 0.40 0.35 Air velocity [m/s] 0.30 0.25 0.20 0.15 0.10 0.05 0.00 12 2 10 16 18 20 0 4 6 8 14 Tray # ACSA TEST 1 - BALANCED CONDITIONS ACSA TEST 2 - UNBALANCED CONDITIONS

UNB

Universitat Autòn de Barcelona ENGIN

esa

SOFT



## Growing conditions

- Chamber growing surface: 5 m<sup>2</sup>
- Plant material: 100 9-day old seedlings of (*Lactuca sativa L*.) cv. '*Grand Rapids*'
- Plant density: 20 plants m<sup>-2</sup>



- Temperature and Relative Humidity: 26/20°C and 50/70% (day/night)
- Lighting system: MH and HPS lamps ratio 1:2 PPFD 450 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light cycle 16hrs:8hrs (day:night)
- Hydroponic system: Nutrient Film Technique 20 trays with 5 plants each
- Nutrient solution: modified Hoagland, pH 5.9 EC 1.9 dS m<sup>-1</sup> at 25°C
- CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: 1000 ppm
- Growth cycle: 28 days (plants harvested 37 Days After Sowing, DAS)



#### Two experiments:

- ACSA Test 1: optimal air flow conditions with homogeneous air velocity in Module A, B and C (0.35 m s<sup>-1</sup>)
- ACSA Test 2: non-homogeneous air flow conditions obtained by closing 5 of 9 side inlet-vents (Module A = 0.35 m s<sup>-1</sup>, Module B = gradient from 0.35 to 0.05 m s<sup>-1</sup> and Module C = 0.05 m s<sup>-1</sup>)







## Lettuce growth cycle in HPC1



#### Comparison of T and RH between Test 1 and Test 2 SA T module A T module C RH module A RH module C Temperature (°C) Relative humidity (%) **BALANCED CONFIGURATION** 24 25 26 27 28 11 12 13 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Days in HPC1 T module A T module C RH module A RH module C Relative humidity (%) Temperature (°C) UNBALANCED CONFIGURATION

15 16

Days in HPC1

19 20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27 28

5 6

8 9

10 11

12 13



## Harvest of plants (28 DAT)







#### View from airlock C



## HPC1 performance comparison



Harvest Date	Lettuce Test (28 DAT)	Dry mass yield	Fresh yield	Shoot Dry Weight	Root Dry Weight	Harvest Index
		(g DW)	(g FW)	(g DW/plant)	(g DW/plant)	
02/03/2017	Test 1 - Balanced Configuration	1264 a	27861 a	11.63 a	1.01 b	0.92 a
25/05/2017	Test 2 - Unbalanced Configuration	1323 a	28490 a	11.59 a	1.64 a	0.88 b



#### Shoot dry biomass homogeneity



# Pictures from the cameras after 3 weeks in HPC1



### Test 1 (module A)



#### Test 2 (module A)



#### Test 1 (module C)

#### Test 2 (module C)



## Destructive analysis of fresh shoots

### ACSA Test 2 - Unbalanced configuration





#### Destructive analysis of fresh shoots

## ACSA Test 2 - Unbalanced configuration





UNB

de Barcelom

Universitat Au

ENGIN SOFT

eesa

Module	Presence of bolting	Presence of rotten leaves (% of plants)		
	(% of plants)			
A (normal)	0.00 b	14.3 b		
В	40.0 a	100.0 a		
C (low)	57.1 a	100.0 a		

Module	Leaves of main stem	Leaves of lateral shoots	Total leaves
	(N plant⁻¹)	(N plant⁻¹)	(N plant⁻¹)
A (normal)	20.9 a	0.0 b	20.9 b
C (low)	12.9 b	15.6 a	28.5 a



#### **Biometric data**





#### Shoot mineral analysis data (g kg DW<sup>-1</sup>)







## Nutrient solution analysis





NS sampling (DAT)	Lettuce Test	P (mg I <sup>-1</sup> )	K (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Ca (mg I <sup>-1</sup> )	Mg (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	S (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )
0		39.78	174.48	105.74	21.79	56.79
7	Test 1	42.31	201.77	121.63	25.99	64.14
14	Balanced	39.84	207.36	133.49	23.17	58.90
21	Configuration	28.47	208.69	187.34	33.04	82.80
28		11.68	22.42	285.44	44.39	126.16
0	Test 2 Unbalanced Configuration	38.22	180.88	104.04	22.02	57.08
7		33.85	282.11	141.94	22.27	55.11
14		37.05	199.05	144.23	21.72	56.49
21		22.47	192.71	202.70	29.17	77.65
28		4.14	21.55	300.89	38.24	118.95



### Conclusions



- The HPC1 at the MPP was proved to be reliable and able to sustain a batch crop test campaign.
- Tests on lettuce, by using balanced or unbalanced air distribution, confirmed that significant differences in plant growth and mineral composition can be determined by different air flow velocity and homogeneity in the cultivation environment.
- Improved air flow homogeneity resulted in more uniform plant biomass distribution within the chamber and higher produce quality.
- The lowest air flow did not affect the total biomass production but reduced the edible biomass (by inducing bolting and leaf rot).



#### Conclusions

# Thank you for your attention!



