

TECHNICAL NOTE

Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG-HARBURG



Bioprozess- und Bioverfahrenstechnik

TECHNICAL NOTE: 86.3.8

HACCP STUDY FOR THE HYPERTHERMOPHILIC LIQUEFACTION UNIT

| prepared by/ <i>préparé par</i> | Juergen Kube | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| reference/réference | 14719/00/NL/SH | | |
| issue/édition | 1 | | |
| revision/révision | 0 | | |
| date of issue/date d'édition | | | |
| status/état | Draft | | |
| Document type/type dedocument | Technical Note | | |
| Distribution/distribution | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT

issue 1 revision

page ii of ii

APPROVAL

| Title titre | issue 1 issue | revision O revision |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| | | |
| author auteur | date date | |
| | | |
| approved by approuvé by | date date | |

CHANGE LOG

| reason for change /raison du changement | issue/issue | revision/revision | date/ <i>date</i> |
|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | | |

CHANGE RECORD

Issue: 1 Revision: 0

| reason for change/raison du changement | page(s)/page(s) | paragraph(s)/paragraph(s) |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|
| | | |



issue 1 revision

page iii of iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. HACCP study for the hyperthermophilic dialysis unit
- 2. Balance data for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit

MELiSSA

1 HACCP STUDY FOR THE HYPERTHERMOPHILIC LIQUEFACTION UNIT

The HACCP study in this TN was prepared according to the protocol submitted by the ESA. The protocol is divided in 4 steps and 9 tasks.

Task 1: Definition of the scope, the objectives of hazard analysis and the hazard analysis planning

The HACCP study is done for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit, as depicted in figure 1. It is an open system. Feed containing solid particles enters the fermentor; an effluent stream leaves the fermentor. A fresh dialysate stream enters the system and leaves the system loaded with dissolved organic carbon. Steam or electricity is used to heat the system. A cooling fluid might become necessary as well. A stirrer and a pump have to be driven by electric or mechanic power supply.

The general working principle is a microbial degradation of fibrous matter at high temperatures (90 °C - 100 °C), which ensures the integrity of the degrading consortium and a fast solubilization of solid matter in a equilibrium controlled liquefaction step. The liquefied solids are withdrawn over the dialysis membrane; thus shifting the equilibrium towards the liquefied products.

Table 1 shows the classification of the hazard. The classification given by the ESA was used in this protocol.

| Category | Severity | Severity of safety consequence |
|----------|--------------|--|
| I | Catastrophic | Loss of life, life-threatening or permanently disabling injury or occupational illness; Loss of an element of an interfacing manned flight system; Loss of launch site facilities or loss of system; Severe detrimental environmental effects. |
| II | Critical | Temporarily disabling, but not life-threatening injury or illness; Major damage to flight systems or loss of or major damage to ground facilities; Major damage to public or private property; Major detrimental environmental effects. |
| III | Marginal | Minor injury, minor disability, minor occupational illness; Minor system or environmental damage. |
| IV | Negligible | Less than minor injury, disability, occupational illness; Less than minor system or environmental damage. |

Table 1: classification of the hazards

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



Figure 1: scheme of the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit. The fermentor is colored blue, the filtration circuit red, the dialysis unit green.

Task 2 Definition of system baseline

MELiSSA

The hyperthermophilc dialysis unit is designed to degrade fibrous organic matter. The degradation is done with anaerobic hyperthermophilic microorganisms at 90 °C – 100 °C. The culture medium is a mixture of organic fibrous matter, feces and water. No other ingredients such as yeast extract, organic acids or trace minerals have to be added. The medium does not need to be sterilized before entering the reactor. The solid matter concentration in the influent is approximately 2%.

The standard operation conditions are 90-100°C, pH6-7. The feed pump is set to maintain a hydraulic retention time of 4 d. The level of dissolved organic carbon in the fermentor is 500-1000 mg/L, the concentration of VFA is 50-200 mg/L, and the amount of total solids is 20-30 g/L. The loaded dialysate contains 300-800 mg/L DOC. The agitation of the stirrer is set to a slow mixing.

| TN 3.8 | HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | |
|---|---|--|
| TUHH Biotech | | |
| This document is confidential property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization | | |
| Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL | | |

MELiSSA

Task 3 Identification of hazard manifestations

Hazards are classified in physical, chemical, and biological hazards.

Table 2 shows the hazard matrix for the three sub systems. From this hazard matrix the hazard manifestation list, shown in table 3 is derived.

| | Table 2: Ha | zard matrix | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|--|
| Conoria hozorda | | | | |
| Generic nazarus | Reactor | MF/UF | Dialysis | |
| Physical hazards | | | - | |
| -Power supply failure | Х | Х | - | |
| -Steam supply failure | Х | - | - | |
| -High Temperature | Х | Х | Х | |
| -High Pressure | Х | Х | Х | |
| -Freezing | - | Х | Х | |
| -Integrity problems | Х | Х | Х | |
| Biological hazards | | | | |
| -Fouling | - | Х | Х | |
| Chemical hazards | | | | |
| -Toxicity | Х | - | - | |
| | | | | |

The hazards in table 2 are most important and most likely hazards that will endanger the hyperthermophilic liquefaction plant. Most of the hazards are of physical nature. Just one chemical hazard is listed here: the introduction or production of toxic compounds into / in the reactor. And even the production of toxic compounds will occur due to a physical hazard (increase of temperature). The only biological hazard is the formation of biofilms on different surfaces in the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit. A biological hazard, that can occour at lower temperatures, such as the contamination with other bacteria is non-existant for the hyperthermophilc culture. At first the hyperthermophilc culture was enriched on a medium containing fecal matter. Mesophilc microorganisms are not able to endanger the hyperthermophilic consortium, if the temperature of the reactor is kept at hyperthermophilic conditions. Secondly, the introduction of new microorganisms able to grow at hyperthermophilic anaerobic conditions will not endanger the liquefaction. Unlike the mesophilic culture, where a contamination of the culture with methanogenic microorganisms will be disastrous because it will shift the product spectrum from VFA to methane, such contamination cannot occur at 90 °C. Literature knows no microorganisms producing methane from acetic acid at hyperthermophilc conditions. Only two microorganisms are currently known, which are able to degrade acetic acid anaerobically at hyperthermophilic conditions. These organisms are Ferroglobus placidus, isolated by Hafenbrandl et al. of the group of Huber and Stetter at the university of Regensburg (Germany) and Geoglobus ahangari, isolated by Kashefi and Lovley of the university of Massachusetts (MA, USA). However both microorganisms need stoiciometrical amounts of Fe³⁺ as electron acceptor and grow to negligible cell densities (10^5 cells / mL) in suspension cultures. A contamination with other hyperthermophilc microorganisms able to grow on the substrate will not endanger the plant but increase its efficiency instead. In waste water plants this is a common phenomenon. For example the UASB reactor, which needs a granular biomass, will develop this biomass after a

| TN 3.8 | 3.8 HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| TUHH Biotech | | | |
| This document is confidential property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization | | | |
| Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL | | | |

certain time by accumulating the granular-forming microorganisms from the feed. An accumulation of a biomass, which is not able to degrade the substrate will not happen, neither in a UASB-reactor nor in a hyperthermophilic dialysis-reactor. These microorganisms will simply pass the reactor, but will not accumulate.

As a consequence of this, the physical hazards are of predominant nature here, whereas the chemical and biological hazards are less important. The TN therefore focuses on the physical hazards.

| Table 3: Hazard manifestation list | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Mission | Subsystem | Hazard | manifestation | |
| phase | | | | |
| Normal | | HM1.1 | Power supply failure – stirrer will not work | |
| operation | | HM1.2 | Steam supply failure – temperature will | |
| | | | decrease | |
| | | HM1.3 | Toxic substances enter the fermentor / are | |
| | | | produced in the fermentor | |
| | | HM1.4 | Temperature control failure – temperature will | |
| | D (| | increase or decrease | |
| | Reactor | HM1.5 | Reactor outlet plugs – increase of reactor | |
| | | | pressure | |
| | | HM1.6 | After temperature control failure – reactor | |
| | | | freezes | |
| | | HM1.7 | Fatigue fracture of vessel or stirrer; seal breaks | |
| | | | - reactor liquid and/or gas escapes into the | |
| | | | environment | |
| | | HM2.1 | Power supply failure – Filtration circuit will not | |
| | | run | | |
| | | HM2.2 | Fouling will plug the MF/UF Membrane | |
| | | HM2.3 | High temperature – Membrane material can be | |
| | | | damaged – pump seal will be damaged – | |
| | MF/UF-System | | Biomass can suffer | |
| | | HM2.4 | High pressure – Membrane can break, pump | |
| | | | seal can break | |
| | | HM2.5 | Freezing – piping can freeze in cold | |
| | | | environment | |
| | | HM2.6 | Membrane breakage – fatigue fracture of | |
| | | | membrane material; leaking of pipes | |
| | | HM3.1 | Biofilm growth on both sides of hollow fibers – | |
| | Dialysis system | | reduction of membrane performance | |
| | | HM3.2 | High temperature – membrane material will be | |
| | | | damaged | |
| | | HM3.3 | High pressure – moderate pressure gradients | |
| | | | over the membrane will destroy the membrane | |
| | MF/UF-System Dialysis system | HM1.7 HM2.1 HM2.2 HM2.3 HM2.4 HM2.4 HM2.5 HM2.6 HM3.1 HM3.2 HM3.3 | Fatigue fracture of vessel or stirrer; seal breaks– reactor liquid and/or gas escapes into the environmentPower supply failure – Filtration circuit will not runFouling will plug the MF/UF MembraneHigh temperature – Membrane material can be damaged – pump seal will be damaged – Biomass can sufferHigh pressure – Membrane can break, pump seal can breakFreezing – piping can freeze in cold environmentMembrane breakage – fatigue fracture of membrane material; leaking of pipesBiofilm growth on both sides of hollow fibers – reduction of membrane performanceHigh pressure – membrane material will be damagedHigh pressure – membrane material will be damaged | |

| TN 3.8 | HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| TUHH Biotech | | | |
| This document is confidential property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization | | | |
| Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL | | | |



page 5 of 5

| Γ | HM3.4 | Freezing – piping can freeze in cold |
|---|-------|---|
| | | environment |
| | HM3.5 | Membrane breakage – fatigue fracture of |
| | | membrane material; leaking of pipes |

Task 4 Identification and classification of the hazard scenarios

Hazard manifestations comprise of causes effects and consequences. Causes, effects, and consequences are listed separately in tables 4, 5, and 6. Table 7 lists all hazard manifestations; the causes, effects and consequences of a hazard, the symptoms and propagation and reaction times. The likelihood of the scenarios is not given here. It depends on design criteria, which will be set during detail engineering.

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit

MELissa

issue 1 revision 0 -

| Table 4: List of causes | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---|--|--|
| Hazard manifestation | Cause | | | |
| HM1.1 | CA1.1 | Power supply failure | | |
| | CA1.2 | Maintenance works | | |
| | CA1.3 | Breaking of stirrer seal | | |
| | CA1.4 | Breaking of stirrer bearings | | |
| HM1.2 | CA1.5 | Steam supply failure | | |
| | CA1.6 | Cooling fluid supply failure | | |
| HM1.3 | CA1.7 | Detergents/Disinfectants enter the fermentor | | |
| | CA1.8 | High loads of easy degradable substrate enter the | | |
| | | fermentor | | |
| | CA1.9 | Toxic substances are formed at high temperature | | |
| | | surfaces | | |
| HM1.4 | CA1.10 | Temperature control failure | | |
| HM1.5 | CA1.11 | Sedimentation of substrate and biomass | | |
| | CA1.12 | Fouling closes the outlet lines | | |
| HM1.6 | CA1.10 | Temperature control failure | | |
| HM1.7 | CA1.13 | Fatigue fracture of stirrer / stirrer shaft | | |
| | CA1.14 | Fatigue fracture of vessel | | |
| | CA1.15 | Leaking of vessel seals | | |
| HM2.1 | CA2.1 | Power supply failure | | |
| | CA2.2 | Pump failure | | |
| | CA2.3 | Pump maintenance works | | |
| HM2.2 | CA2.4 | Fouling reduces permeate stream | | |
| HM2.3 | CA2.5 | Hot circuit stream melts membrane material | | |
| HM2.4 | CA2.6 | High trans-membrane pressure destroys the membrane | | |
| | CA2.7 | Valve or piping in retentate stream downstream of the | | |
| | | Filtration unit is closed | | |
| HM2.5 | CA2.8 | Changes in temperature of environment | | |
| HM2.6 | CA2.9 | Leaking of pipe seals | | |
| | CA2.10 | Fatigue fracture of membrane material | | |
| | CA2.11 | Leaking of membrane seals | | |
| | CA2.12 | Microorganisms grow through membrane | | |
| HM3.1 | CA3.1 | Biofilm formation in the dialysate circuit | | |
| HM3.2 | CA3.2 | Hot circuit stream melts membrane material | | |
| HM3.3 | CA3.3 | Pressure gradient over the membrane destroys | | |
| | | membrane | | |
| | CA3.4 | Valve downstream of dialysis unit is closed | | |
| HM3.4 | CA3.5 | Changes in temperature of environment | | |
| HM3.5 CA3.6 Leaking of pipe seals | | Leaking of pipe seals | | |
| | CA3.7 | Fatigue fracture of membrane material | | |
| | CA3.8 | Leaking of membrane seals | | |

 TN 3.8
 HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit

 TUHH Biotech
 This document is confidential property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization

Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL



| | Ta | ble 5: Lists of effects |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Causes | | effect |
| CA1.1 | EF1.1 | Stirrer stops, Biomass and solid particles settle down |
| CA1.2 | | in the fermentor |
| CA1.3 | | |
| CA1.4 | | |
| CA1.5 | EF1.2 | Fermentor cools down and reaches environmental |
| | | temperature after a long time |
| CA1.6 | EF1.3 | Fermentor has to be cooled against environment |
| | EF1.4 | Temperature in the fermentor increases, Biomass |
| | | becomes reversibly inactive |
| | EF1.5 | Fermentor liquid boils, Biomass becomes irreversibly |
| | | inactive |
| CA1.7 | EF1.6 | Biomass becomes reversibly intoxicated |
| | EF1.7 | Biomass becomes irreversibly intoxicated |
| CA1.8 | EF1.8 | pH in the fermentor drops due to rapid substrate |
| <u>CA10</u> | | degradation to VFAs, Biomass performance decreases |
| CA1.9 | EFI.9 | Toxic tumes leave the fermentor with the off-gas |
| CA1 10 | EFI./ | Biomass becomes irreversibly inactive |
| CA1.10 | EF1.2 | Fermentor cools down and reaches environmental |
| | EE1 2 | Example a formation of the social against environment |
| | EF1.3 | Temperature in the formenter increases |
| CA1 11 | EF1.4 EE1.1 | Stirrer stops Biomass and solid particles settle down |
| CALLI | L1 1.1 | in the fermentor, pressure increase in the fermentor |
| CA1 12 | EF1 10 | Pressure increase in the fermentor |
| CA1 13 | EF1 1 | Stirrer stops Biomass and solid particles settle down |
| | | in the fermentor |
| CA1.14 | EF1.11 | Loss of fermentation liquid/gas to environment |
| CA1.15 | EF1.11 | Loss of fermentation liquid/gas to environment |
| CA2.1 | EF2.1 | Breakdown of filtration circuit |
| CA2.2 |] | |
| CA2.3 | | |
| CA2.4 | EF2.2 | Volume flow to dialysis unit decreases |
| | EF2.3 | Biofilm particles can detach form piping / membrane |
| | | and plug the dialysis module |
| CA2.5 | EF2.4 | MF/UF-membrane is destroyed, loss of filtration |
| | EF2.5 | Membrane seals are destroyed, leakage of sludge to |
| | | the environment |
| CA2.6 | EF2.4 | MF/UF-membrane is destroyed, loss of filtration |
| | EF2.5 | Membrane seals are destroyed, leakage of sludge to |
| | | the environment |
| | EF2.6 | Burst disk opens and relieves filtration circuit to |
| TN 3.8 | HACCP | Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit |
| TUHH Biotech | 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| This document is confidential property | ot the MELiSSA par | tners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization |
| | Memorandum | ot Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL |



page 8 of 7

| | reactor | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| EF2.4 | MF/UF-membrane is destroyed, loss of filtration | | | |
| EF2.5 | Membrane seals are destroyed, leakage of sludge to | | | |
| | the environment | | | |
| EF2.6 | Burst disk opens and relieves filtration circuit to | | | |
| | reactor | | | |
| EF2.7 | Filtration circuit freezes, pipes burst | | | |
| EF2.8 | Loss of retentate to environment | | | |
| EF2.4 | MF/UF-membrane is destroyed, loss of filtration | | | |
| EF2.8 | Loss of retentate to environment | | | |
| EF2.9 | Hyperthermophilic MO enter the dialysate stream | | | |
| EF2.10 | Hyperthermophilic biofilm formation in dialysis unit | | | |
| EF3.1 | Plugging of dialysis unit in housing stream | | | |
| EF3.2 | Lowering of dialysis performance | | | |
| EF3.3 | Dialysis membrane is destroyed, loss of dialysis | | | |
| EF3.4 | Dialysis seals are destroyed, leakage of | | | |
| | filtrate/dialysate to the environment | | | |
| EF3.5 | Convective flow from/to fermentor | | | |
| EF3.3 | Dialysis membrane is destroyed, loss of dialysis | | | |
| EF3.3 | Dialysis membrane is destroyed, loss of dialysis | | | |
| EF3.6 | Convective flow from fermentor to dialysate | | | |
| EF3.7 | Freezing of dialysate circuit / pipes burst | | | |
| EF3.8 | Loss of dialysate to environment | | | |
| EF3.3 | Dialysis membrane is destroyed, loss of dialysis | | | |
| EF3.8 | Loss of dialysate to environment | | | |
| | EF2.4 EF2.5 EF2.6 EF2.7 EF2.8 EF2.4 EF2.8 EF2.9 EF2.10 EF3.1 EF3.2 EF3.3 EF3.3 EF3.4 EF3.3 EF3.3 EF3.3 EF3.3 EF3.3 EF3.3 EF3.8 EF3.3 EF3.8 | | | |

| Table 6: List of consequences | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| effect | | Consequence | | | | |
| EF1.1 | CO1.1 | Plugging of fermentor outlet | | | | |
| | CO1.2 | Boiling of fermentor liquid due to temperature | | | | |
| | | gradients | | | | |
| | CO1.3 | Formation of toxic reaction products in the fermentor | | | | |
| | CO1.4 | Hyperthermophilic biomass dies | | | | |
| | CO1.5 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | |
| EF1.2 | CO1.6 | Liquefaction performance decreases | | | | |
| | CO1.7 | Fermentation broth freezes | | | | |
| | CO1.4 | Hyperthermophilic biomass dies | | | | |
| EF1.3 | CO1.8 | Heat intake from the environment | | | | |
| EF1.4 | CO1.9 | Biomass becomes reversibly inactive | | | | |
| EF1.5 | CO1.10 | Biomass becomes irreversibly inactive | | | | |
| | CO1.5 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | |

| TN 3.8 | HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| TUHH Biotech | | | | | | |
| This document is confidential property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization | | | | | | |
| | Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL | | | | | |



page 9 of 9

| EF1.6 | CO1.9 | Biomass becomes reversibly inactive | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| EF1.7 | CO1.10 | Biomass becomes irreversibly inactive | | | | | |
| | CO1.5 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | | |
| | 00110 | liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| EF1.8 | CO1.11 | pH of hyperthermophilic fermentor drops | | | | | |
| 21 110 | C01.9 | Biomass becomes reversibly inactive | | | | | |
| EF1.9 | C01.12 | Intoxication of phototrophic compartment | | | | | |
| | CO1 13 | Accumulation of non-degradable compounds in the | | | | | |
| | 001112 | loop | | | | | |
| EF1.10 | CO1.14 | Opening of safety valve / burst disc. loss of | | | | | |
| | | fermentation liquid/gas to the environment | | | | | |
| EF1.11 | CO1.15 | Contamination of environment with hyperthermophilic | | | | | |
| | | MO | | | | | |
| | CO1.16 | Loss of carbon/nitrogen/sulfur/phosphor/oxygen/water | | | | | |
| | | to the environment | | | | | |
| | CO1.17 | Loss of hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| EF2.1 | CO2.1 | Accumulation of VFA in the fermentor | | | | | |
| | CO2.2 | Drop of pH in the fermentor, biomass becomes | | | | | |
| | | reversibly inactive | | | | | |
| | CO2.3 | nutrient flow to phototrophic compartment stops | | | | | |
| | CO2.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| EF2.2 | CO2.1 | Accumulation of VFA in the fermentor | | | | | |
| | CO2.2 | Drop of pH in the fermentor, biomass becomes | | | | | |
| | | reversibly inactive | | | | | |
| CO2.5 | | nutrient flow to phototrophic compartment decreases | | | | | |
| | CO2.4 Temporary shutdown of hypertherm | | | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| EF2.3 | CO2.6 | Increase of dialysis unit inlet pressure | | | | | |
| | CO2.7 | Breakage of dialysis membrane | | | | | |
| | CO2.8 | Plugging of dialysis membrane | | | | | |
| | CO2.9 | Replacement of dialysis-membrane | | | | | |
| | CO2.1 | Accumulation of VFA in the fermentor | | | | | |
| | CO2.2 | Drop of pH in the fermentor, biomass becomes | | | | | |
| | | reversibly inactive | | | | | |
| | CO2.3 | nutrient flow to phototrophic compartment stops | | | | | |
| | CO2.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| EF2.4 | CO2.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| | CO2.9 | Replacement of MF/UF-membrane | | | | | |
| EF2.5 CO2.4 Temporary shutdown of hyperthermo | | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| | CO2.10 | 0 Replacement of MF/UF-membrane seals | | | | | |
| TN 3.8 | HACCP | Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | | | | |
| TUHH Biotech | | | | | | | |
| This document is confidential p | property of the MELiSSA par | tners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization | | | | | |
| | Memorandum | of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL | | | | | |



| | 000.11 | | | | | |
|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| EF2.6 | CO2.11 | Replacement of burst disk | | | | |
| EF2.7 | CO2.12 | Replacement of piping | | | | |
| | CO2.13 | Irreversible loss of hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | | | |
| EF2.8 | CO2.14 | Loss of Water in the system | | | | |
| | CO2.15 | Loss of carbon/nitrogen/sulfur in the system | | | | |
| | CO2.16 | Loss of CO_2 in the system | | | | |
| | CO2.17 | Contamination of environment with hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | MO | | | | |
| EF2.9 | CO2.18 | Contamination of phototrophic reactor with | | | | |
| | | hyperthermophilic MO | | | | |
| EF2.10 | CO2.9 | Replacement of dialysis-membrane | | | | |
| | CO2.1 | Accumulation of VFA in the fermentor | | | | |
| | CO2.2 | Drop of pH in the fermentor, biomass becomes | | | | |
| | | reversibly inactive | | | | |
| | CO2.3 | nutrient flow to phototrophic compartment stops | | | | |
| | CO2.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | |
| EF3.1 | CO3.1 | Accumulation of VFA in the fermentor | | | | |
| | CO3.2 | Drop of pH in the fermentor | | | | |
| | CO3.3 | nutrient flow to phototrophic compartment stops | | | | |
| | CO3.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | |
| EF3.2 | CO3.1 | Accumulation of VFA in the fermentor | | | | |
| | CO3.2 | Drop of pH in the fermentor | | | | |
| | CO3.3 | nutrient flow to phototrophic compartment stops | | | | |
| | CO3.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | |
| EF3.3 | CO3.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | |
| | CO3.5 | Replacement of dialysis membrane | | | | |
| EF3.4 | CO3.4 | Temporary shutdown of hyperthermophilic | | | | |
| | | liquefaction unit | | | | |
| | CO3.6 | Replacement of dialysis membrane seals | | | | |
| EF3.5 | CO3.7 | Liquid level in fermentor drops | | | | |
| | CO3.8 | Liquid level in fermentor rises | | | | |
| EF3.6 | CO3.7 | Liquid level in fermentor drops | | | | |
| EF3.7 | CO3.9 | Replacement of dialysis pipings | | | | |
| | CO3 10 | Loss of hyperthermonhilic liquefaction unit | | | | |
| EF3 8 | CO3 11 | Loss of water to environment | | | | |
| | CO3 12 | Loss of carbon/sulfur/nitrogen/oxygen to environment | | | | |
| | CO3.12 | Contamination of environment with hyperthermorphilic | | | | |
| | 005.15 | MO | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | 1110 | | | | |

| TN 3.8 | HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit |
|--------------------------|---|
| TUHH Biotech | |
| This document is confide | ntial property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization |
| | Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL |



| | Table 7: Hazard | scenario li | ist for normal operation | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Hazard manifestation | Cause - Effects - Consequences | Conseq uence severity | Observable symptoms | Propagatio n and reaction time |
| HM1.1 | CA1.1-EF1.1- CO1.1, | III | Stirrer stops, Blackout, Liquid level in fermentor rises | PT: 1 s RT: 30 min |
| | CA1.1-EF1.1- CO1.2 | III | Stirrer stops, Detection of complex molecules in off- gas, Heat transfer into fermentor decreases, Temperature in reactor changes | PT:1 s RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.1-EF1.1- CO1.3 | III | Detection of complex molecules in off-gas | PT: 30 min RT: 5 min |
| | CA1.1-EF1.1- CO1.4 | II | Decrease in fermentor performance | PT: 1 w RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.1-EF1.1- CO1.5 | II | - | - |
| | CA1.2-EF1.1- CO1.1 | III | - | - |
| | CA1.2-EF1.1- CO1.2 | III | - | - |
| | CA1.2-EF1.1- CO1.3 | III | - | - |
| | CA1.2-EF1.1- CO1.4 | II | - | - |
| | CA1.2-EF1.1- CO1.5 | II | - | - |
| | CA1.3-EF1.1- CO1.1 | III | Stirrer stops, Blackout, Liquid level in fermentor rises, Leaking of fermentor liquid into the environment | PT: 1 s RT: 30 min |
| | CA1.3-EF1.1- CO1.2 | III | Stirrer stops, Detection of complex molecules in off- gas, Heat transfer into fermentor decreases, Temperature in reactor changes Leaking of fermentor liquid into environment | PT:1 s RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.3-EF1.1- | III | Detection of complex | PT: 30 min |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



page 12 of 11

| | CO1 3 | | molecules in off-gas | RT· 5 min |
|-------|------------------|-----|--|---------------------------|
| | 001.5 | | Leaking of fermentor liquid | KI: 5 mm |
| | | | into the environment | |
| | CA12 EE1 1 | TT | | DT. 1 |
| | CAI.3-EFI.I- | 11 | Decrease in lermentor | PI: I W |
| | CO1.4 | | performance, Leaking of | RI: I d |
| | | | fermentor liquid into the | |
| | | | environment | |
| | CA1.3-EF1.1- | II | - | - |
| | CO1.5 | | | |
| | CA1.4-EF1.1- | III | Stirrer stops or slows down, | PT: 1 s |
| | CO1.1 | | Blackout, Liquid level in | RT: 30 min |
| | | | fermentor rises. | |
| | CA1.4-EF1.1- | III | Stirrer stops or slows down. | PT:1 s |
| | CO12 | | Detection of complex | RT·1 d |
| | 00112 | | molecules in off-gas Heat | |
| | | | transfer into fermentor | |
| | | | decreases Temperature in | |
| | | | resistor changes | |
| | CA14 EE1 1 | ш | Detector changes | DT: 20 min |
| | CA1.4- $EF1.1$ - | 111 | melaculas in off cos | PT. 50 IIIII DT. 5 min |
| | | | molecules in oll-gas | RT: 5 min |
| | CAI.4-EFI.I- | 11 | Decrease in fermentor | PT: I W |
| | CO1.4 | | performance | RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.4-EF1.1- | II | - | - |
| | CO1.5 | | | |
| HM1.2 | CA1.5-EF1.2- | III | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 h |
| | CO1.6 | | temperature, Decrease in | RT: 1 d |
| | | | fermentor performance | |
| | CA1.5-EF1.2- | II | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 h |
| | CO1.7 | | temperature, Decrease in | RT: 2 w |
| | | | fermentor performance | |
| | CA1.5-EF1.2- | II | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 h |
| | CO1 4 | | temperature Decrease in | $RT \cdot 2 w$ |
| | 00111 | | fermentor performance | |
| | CA1 6-FF1 3- | IV | Temperature of fermentor | PT·1 h |
| | CO1 8 | 1 V | increases heat balance is not | RT: 12 h |
| | 01.0 | | alosad | K1 . 12 II |
| | CA16 EE1 A | Ш | Tomporatura of formanter | DT. 1 h |
| | CA1.0-EF1.4- | 111 | in an a second s | |
| | 001.9 | | increases, iermentor | K1:2 N |
| | | TT | performance decreases | DT 11 |
| | CA1.6-EF1.5- | 11 | Temperature of fermentor | PT: 1 h |
| | CO1.10 | | increases, fermentor | RT: 1 h |
| | | | performance decreases | |
| HM1.3 | CA1.7-EF1.6- | III | Fermentor performance | PT: 3d |
| | CO1.9 | | decreases | RT: - |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



page 13 of 13

| | CA1.7-EF1.7- | II | Fermentor performance | PT: 3 d |
|-------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | CO1.10 | | decreases | RT: - |
| | CA1.7-EF1.7- | II | - | - |
| | CO1.5 | | | |
| | CA1.8-EF1.8- | IV | pH in fermentor drops | PT: 12 h |
| | CO1.11 | | | RT: 3 d |
| | CA1.8-EF1.8- | III | pH in fermentor drops, | PT: 12 h |
| | CO1.9 | | fermentor performance | RT: 3 d |
| | | | decreases | |
| | CA1.9-EF1.9- | II | Performance of phototrophic | PT: 1 d |
| | CO1.12 | | compartment decreases | RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.9-EF1.7- | II | Fermentor performance | PT: 3 d |
| | CO1.10 | | decreases | RT: - |
| HM1.4 | CA1.10-EF1.2- | III | Fermentor performance | PT: 12 h |
| | CO1.6 | | decreases, temperature of | RT: 1 d |
| | | | fermentor decreases | |
| | CA1.10-EF1.2- | II | Stirrer stops, temperature of | PT: 1 w |
| | CO1.7 | | fermentor drops to 0°C | RT: 1 w |
| | CA1.10-EF1.2- | II | Fermentor performance | PT: 3 d |
| | CO1.4 | | decreases | RT: 1 w |
| | CA1.10-EF1.3- | IV | Heat balance is not closed | PT: 12 h |
| | CO1.8 | | | RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.10-EF1.4- | III | Fermentor performance | PT: 30 min |
| | CO1.9 | | decreases, Temperature of | RT 45 min |
| | | | fermentor increases | |
| HM1.5 | CA1.11-EF1.1- | III | Stirrer stops, Liquid level in | PT: 1 s |
| | CO1.1 | | fermentor rises | RT: 30 min |
| | CA1.11-EF1.1- | III | Stirrer stops, Detection of | PT:1 s |
| | CO1.2 | | complex molecules in off- | RT: 1 d |
| | | | gas, Heat transfer into | |
| | | | fermentor decreases, | |
| | | | Temperature in reactor | |
| | | | changes | |
| | CA1.11-EF1.1- | III | Detection of complex | PT: 30 min |
| | CO1.3 | | molecules in off-gas | RT: 5 min |
| | CA1.11-EF1.1- | 11 | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 w |
| | CO1.4 | | performance | RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.11-EF1.1- | 11 | - | - |
| | COI.5 | TT .!. | | |
| | CAI.12-EF1.10- | 11 * | Abrupt decreases of | PT: 1 s |
| | COI.14 | | termentor pressure, | KT: Id |
| HM1.6 | CA1.10-EF1.2- | 111 | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 h |
| | CO1.6 | | temperature, Decrease in | RT: I d |
| | | | termentor performance | |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



page 14 of 14

| | CA1.10-EF1.2- | II | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 h |
|-------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | CO1.7 | | temperature, Decrease in | RT: 2 w |
| | | | fermentor performance | |
| | CA1.10-EF1.2- | II | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 h |
| | CO1.4 | | temperature, Decrease in | RT: 2 w |
| | | | fermentor performance | |
| | CA1.10-EF1.3- | IV | Heat balance is not closed | PT: 12 h |
| | CO1.8 | | | RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.10-EF1.4- | III | Fermentor performance | PT: 30 min |
| | CO1.9 | | decreases, Temperature of | RT 45 min |
| | | | fermentor increases | |
| HM1.7 | CA1.13-EF1.1- | III | Stirrer speed increases, | PT:1 s |
| | CO1.1 | | Detection of complex | RT: 1 d |
| | | | molecules in off-gas, Heat | |
| | | | transfer into fermentor | |
| | | | decreases, Temperature in | |
| | | | reactor changes | |
| | CA1.13-EF1.1- | III | Detection of complex | PT: 30 min |
| | CO1.2 | | molecules in off-gas | RT: 5 min |
| | CA1.13-EF1.1- | III | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 w |
| | CO1.3 | | performance, | RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.13-EF1.1- | II | Decrease in fermentor | PT: 1 w |
| | CO1.4 | | performance | RT: 1 d |
| | CA1.13-EF1.1- | II | - | - |
| | CO1.5 | | | |
| | CA1.14-EF1.11- | III * | - | - |
| | CO1.15 | | | |
| | CA1.14-EF1.11- | II | Mass balance is not closed, | PT: 1 min |
| | CO1.16 | | decrease in fermentor | RT: - |
| | ~ | _ | pressure and temperature | |
| | CA1.14-EF1.11- | Ι | - | - |
| | CO1.17 | | | |
| | CA1.15-EF1.11- | III * | Mass balance is not closed, | PT: 12 h |
| | CO1.15 | ** | leakage stream under seals | RT: I d |
| | CAI.15-EF1.11- | 11 | Mass balance is not closed | PT: 12 h |
| | COI.16 | TT 7 | | RI: I d |
| HM2.1 | CA2.1-EF2.1- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 1 s |
| | 002.1 | | filtration | KI:Id |
| | | | Intration mass now | |
| | | 11/ | Dump stops filtration man | DT. 1 h |
| | CA2.1-EF2.1- | 1 V | Fump stops, intration mass | |
| | CO2.2 | III | now decreases | |
| | CA2.1-EF2.1- | 111 | Performance of phototrophic | P1:10 DT:24 |
| | 002.3 | | compartment decreases | K1:2d |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



page 15 of 15

| | CA2.1-EF2.1- | II | - | - |
|-------|--------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------|
| | CO2.4 | | | |
| | CA2.2-EF2.1- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 1 s |
| | CO2.1 | | fermentor, blackout, | RT: 1d |
| | | | filtration mass flow | |
| | | | decreases, pump stops | |
| | CA2.2-EF2.1- | IV | Pump stops, filtration mass | PT: 1 h |
| | CO2.2 | | flow decreases | RT: 1 d |
| | CA2.2-EF2.1- | III | Performance of phototrophic | PT: 1 d |
| | CO2.3 | | compartment decreases | RT: 2 d |
| | CA2.2-EF2.1- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.4 | | | |
| | CA2.3-EF2.1- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 1 s |
| | CO2.1 | | fermentor, blackout, | RT: 1 d |
| | | | filtration mass flow | |
| | | | decreases, pump stops | |
| | CA2.3-EF2.1- | IV | Pump stops, filtration mass | PT: 1 h |
| | CO2.2 | | flow decreases | RT: 1 d |
| | CA2.3-EF2.1- | III | Performance of phototrophic | PT: 1 d |
| | CO2.3 | | compartment decreases | RT: 2 d |
| | CA2.3-EF2.1- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.4 | | | |
| HM2.2 | CA2.4-EF2.2- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 6 m |
| | CO2.1 | | fermentor, pH in fermentor | RT: 1 a |
| | | | drops, performance of | |
| | | | hyperthermophilic fermentor | |
| | | | decreases | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.2- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 6 m |
| | CO2.2 | | fermentor, pH in fermentor | RT: 1 a |
| | | | drops, performance of | |
| | | | hyperthermophilic fermentor | |
| | | | decreases | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.2- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.4 | | | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.2- | IV | Performance of phototrophic | PT: 6 m |
| | CO2.5 | | compartment decreases, | RT: 1 a |
| | | | VFA / DOC in dialysate | |
| | | | decreases | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | III | Increase of dialysis inlet | PT: 1 s |
| | CO2.6 | | pressure | RT: 10 s |
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | II | Pressure of inlet / outlet | PT: 1 s |
| | CO2.7 | | dialysate / retentate is equal, | RT: - |
| | | | volume flow from | |
| | | | hyperthermophilic fermentor | |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



| | | | to phototrophic compartment | |
|-------|--------------|-----|--------------------------------|----------|
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | II | Increase of dialysis inlet | PT: 1 s |
| | CO2.8 | | pressure | RT: 10 s |
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.9 | | | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | IV | pH of hyperthermophilic | PT: 6 m |
| | CO2.1 | | fermentor drops, VFA | RT: 1 a |
| | | | accumulate | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | IV | pH of hyperthermophilic | PT: 6 m |
| | CO2.2 | | fermentor drops, VFA | RT: 1 a |
| | | | accumulate | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | III | Performance of phototrophic | PT: 6 m |
| | CO2.3 | | compartment decreases, | RT: 1 a |
| | | | VFA / DOC in dialysate | |
| | | | decreases | |
| | CA2.4-EF2.3- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.4 | | | |
| HM2.3 | CA2.5-EF2.4- | II | High temperature in | - |
| | CO2.4 | | dialysate circuit, Pressure of | |
| | | | inlet / outlet dialysate / | |
| | | | retentate is equal, volume | |
| | | | flow from hyperthermophilic | |
| | | | fermentor to phototrophic | |
| | | | compartment | |
| | CA2.5-EF2.4- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.9 | | | |
| | CA2.5-EF2.5- | II | High temperature in | |
| | CO2.4 | | dialysate circuit, leaking of | |
| | | | filtration liquid form | |
| | | | dialysate unit, mass balance | |
| | | | over dialysis unit is not | |
| | | | closed | |
| | CA2.5-EF2.5- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.10 | | | |
| HM2.4 | CA2.6-EF2.4- | II | Rapid change of pressure in | PT: 1s |
| | CO2.4 | | dialysis unit, Pressure of | RT: - |
| | | | inlet / outlet dialysate / | |
| | | | retentate is equal | |
| | CA2.6-EF2.4- | II | Rapid change of pressure in | - |
| | CO2.9 | | dialysis unit, Pressure of | |
| | | | inlet / outlet dialysate / | |
| | | | retentate is equal | |
| | CA2.6-EF2.5- | II | Rapid change of pressure in | - |
| | CO2.4 | | dialysis unit, leaking of | |

 TN 3.8
 HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit

 TUHH Biotech
 Image: Comparison of the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



| | | | filtration liquid form | |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | dialysate unit, mass balance | |
| | | | over dialysis unit is not | |
| | | | closed | |
| | CA2 6-FF2 5- | П | Rapid change of pressure in | _ |
| | CO2 10 | 11 | dialyzia unit leaking of | |
| | 02.10 | | filtration line line d | |
| | | | Intration inquid form | |
| | | | dialysate unit, mass balance | |
| | | | over dialysis unit is not | |
| | | | closed | |
| | CA2.6-EF2.6- | II | Volume flow to environment | PT: 1s |
| | CO2.11 | | / into relieve vessel | RT: - |
| HM2.5 | CA2.8-EF2.7- | II | Temperature of environment | PT: 1 d |
| | CO2.12 | | decreases, membrane | RT: 1 d |
| | | | filtration circuit stops | |
| | CA2 8-EF2 7- | T | F | - |
| | CO2 13 | - | | |
| HM2.6 | CA2 9-EF2 8- | II | Mass balance over filtration | PT·1 m |
| 111/1210 | CO2.14 | | membrane is not closed | $RT \cdot 2 m$ |
| | CA2 9-FF2 8- | Ш | Detection of leakage stream | $PT \cdot 1 a$ |
| | CO2 15 | | Mass balance is not closed | $RT \cdot 1a$ |
| | CA2 9-FF2 8- | П | Carbon and oxygen Mass | $\mathbf{PT} \cdot 1 \mathbf{a}$ |
| | CO2 16 | | balance is not closed maybe | $\mathbf{RT} \cdot 1$ |
| | 002.10 | | detection of frozen CO ₂ | K1. 1 u |
| | | | depending on the | |
| | | | appending on the | |
| | | | | |
| | | TTT + | | DT 1 |
| | CA2.9-EF2.8- | 111 * | Detection of leakage stream | PI: I a |
| | CO2.17 | | | RT: La |
| | CA2.10-EF2.4- | Ш | - | - |
| | CO2.4 | | | |
| | CA2.10-EF2.4- | II | - | - |
| | CO2.9 | | | |
| | CA2.11-EF2.8- | II | Mass balance is not closed, | PT: 1 m |
| | CO2.14 | | detection of leakage streams | RT: 2 m |
| | CA2.11-EF2.8- | III | Carbon balance is not closed | PT: 1 a |
| | CO2.15 | | | RT: 1 a |
| | CA2.11-EF2.8- | II | Carbon and oxygen Mass | PT: 1 a |
| | CO2.16 | | balance is not closed. mavbe | RT: 1 a |
| | | | detection of frozen CO ₂ | |
| | | | depending on the | |
| | | | environment temperature / | |
| | | | nressure | |
| | CA2 11_FF2 8_ | III * | - | |
| | U112.11 L1 2.0 ⁻ | 111 | 1 | |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



| | | | i | |
|-------|----------------|-----|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | CO2.17 | | | |
| | CA2.12-EF2.9- | IV | Cells in loaded dialysate | PT: |
| | CO2.18 | | stream, decrease of | probing |
| | | | performances of | interval |
| | | | phototrophic compartment | RT: - |
| | CA2 12-EF2 10- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 2 m |
| | CO2.1 | | fermentor drop of pH | $RT \cdot 3m$ |
| | | | decrease of performance of | |
| | | | hyperthermonhilic | |
| | | | liquefaction unit | |
| | CA2 12 EE2 10 | IV | Accumulation of VEA in | $DT \cdot 2m$ |
| | CA2.12-D12.10- | 1 V | formation drop of pH | 11.2m |
| | 02.2 | | termentor, drop of pH, | K1:5 III |
| | | | decrease of performance of | |
| | | | nypertnermopnilic | |
| | | TTT | inquefaction unit | |
| | CA2.12-EF2.10- | 111 | Decrease of DOC in loaded | PT. 2 m |
| | CO2.3 | | dialysate stream | RT: 3 m |
| | CA2.12-EF2.10- | 11 | - | - |
| | CO2.4 | | | |
| | CA2.12-EF2.10- | 11 | - | - |
| | CO2.9 | | | |
| HM3.1 | CA3.1-EF3.1- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.1 | | fermentor, drop of pH, | RT: 3 m |
| | | | decrease of performance of | |
| | | | hyperthermophilic | |
| | | | liquefaction unit, increase of | |
| | | | dialysate inlet pressure | |
| | CA3.1-EF3.1- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.2 | | fermentor, drop of pH, | RT: 3 m |
| | | | decrease of performance of | |
| | | | hyperthermophilic | |
| | | | liquefaction unit, increase of | |
| | | | dialysate inlet pressure | |
| | CA3.1-EF3.1- | III | Decrease of performance of | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.3 | | phototrophic compartment | RT: 3 m |
| | CA3.1-EF3.1- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.4 | | | |
| | CA3.1-EF3.2- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.1 | | fermentor, drop of pH. | RT: 3 m |
| | | | decrease of performance of | |
| | | | hyperthermophilic | |
| | | | liquefaction unit increase of | |
| | | | dialysate inlet pressure | |
| | CA3.1-EF3.2- | IV | Accumulation of VFA in | PT: 2 m |
| | | ± ' | rice annulation of the m | I I I I I I I I I I |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech

HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit



page 19 of 18

| | CO3.2 | | fermentor, drop of pH, | RT: 3 m |
|-------|--------------|-----|---------------------------------|------------|
| | | | decrease of performance of | |
| | | | hyperthermophilic | |
| | | | liquefaction unit, increase of | |
| | | | dialysate inlet pressure | |
| | CA3.1-EF3.2- | III | Decrease of performance of | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.3 | | phototrophic compartment | RT: 3 m |
| | CA3.1-EF3.2- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.4 | | | |
| HM3.2 | CA3.2-EF3.3- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.4 | | | |
| | CA3.2-EF3.3- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.5 | | | |
| | CA3.2-EF3.4- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.4 | | | |
| | CA3.2-EF3.4- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.6 | | | |
| HM3.3 | CA3.3-EF3.5- | III | Liquid level in fermentor | PT: 5 min |
| | CO3.7 | | drops, mass balance of | RT: 10 min |
| | | | dialysis stream over dialysis | |
| | | | membrane is negative | |
| | CA3.3-EF3.5- | III | Liquid level in fermentor | PT: 5 min |
| | CO3.8 | | rises, mass balance of | RT: 10 min |
| | | | dialysis stream over dialysis | |
| | | | membrane is positive | |
| | CA3.3-EF3.3- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.4 | | | |
| | CA3.3-EF3.3- | II | _ | - |
| | CO3.5 | | | |
| | CA3.4-EF3.3- | II | _ | - |
| | CO3.4 | | | |
| | CA3.4-EF3.3- | II | _ | - |
| | CO3.5 | | | |
| | CA3.4-EF3.6- | II | Liquid level in fermentor | PT: 5 min |
| | CO3.7 | | drops, mass balance of | RT: 10 min |
| | | | dialysis stream over dialysis | |
| | | | membrane is negative | |
| HM3.4 | CA3.5-EF3.7- | II | Drop of environmental | PT: 1 d |
| | CO3.9 | | temperature, higher heat | RT: 1 d |
| | | | demand, temperature of | |
| | | | returning dialysate is close to | |
| | | | 0°C | |
| | CA3.5-EF3.7- | Ι | - | _ |
| | CO3.10 | | | |
| | | | | |

TN 3.8 HAO TUHH Biotech

HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit

page 20 of 20

| HM3.5 | CA3.6-EF3.8- | II | Mass balance is not closed, | PT: 2 m |
|------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | CO3.11 | | detection of leakage streams | RT: 3 m |
| | CA3.6-EF3.8- | III | Carbon balance is not closed | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.12 | | | RT: 3 m |
| | CA3.6-EF3.8- | III * | - | - |
| | CO3.13 | | | |
| | CA3.7-EF3.3- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.4 | | | |
| | CA3.7-EF3.3- | II | - | - |
| | CO3.5 | | | |
| | CA3.8-EF3.8- | II | Mass balance is not closed, | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.11 | | detection of leakage streams | RT: 3 m |
| | CA3.8-EF3.8- | III | Carbon balance is not closed | PT: 2 m |
| | CO3.12 | | | RT: 3 m |
| | CA3.8-EF3.8- | III * | - | - |
| | CO3.13 | | | |
| * = release of M | O to environment ma | w have con | sequences of higher severity | • |

Task 5 Hazard rating

Of the listed hazard manifestations only a small number of scenarios have a severity class I (catastrophic). These scenarios are covered by the hazard manifestations HM1.7, HM2.5, and HM3.4 (fracture of vessel, freezing of pipes) and cannot be accepted. Measures to avoid these hazards will be discussed in the next task.

A lot of scenarios will lead to consequences of a class II severity (critical). A great number of them occur after a stirrer failure in the fermentor. These hazards can be avoided by two separate stirring devices or a reactor construction without a stirrer. Detailed measures are given in task 6. Also the death of the hyperthermophilic biomass is triggered by some HMs and will lead to a consequence of class II severity. This hazard can be minimized but has to be accepted. A re-inoculation might be necessary from time to time. Fracture of membranes or the seals thereof is also an acceptable hazard, if the fracture can be detected fast. The exchange of membranes, seals, and bearings must be done on a regular basis. The risk of fouling and biofilm formation can be accepted, if cleaning procedures are carried out on a regular basis.

The release of hyperthermophilic microorganisms to the environment does not represent an immediate danger to the crew and can be accepted. However there might be some political or administrational restraints of this scenario. In this case, this scenario cannot be accepted.

Task 6 Hazard reduction

In the previous task several scenarios were named, which will lead to unacceptable risks. HM1.7 includes the scenario of a vessel fracture. The likelihood of this event is very low, but the risk cannot be avoided. Regular maintenance together with a careful operation will prolog the lifetime of the vessel beyond the lifetime of the rest of the station. In terrestrial applications stirred tank reactors are designed for 30 years and often operated even longer without damage of the hull.

| TN 3.8 | HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| TUHH Biotech | | | | | |
| This document is confidential property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization | | | | | |
| Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



The scenario of freezing pipes is probably the most endangering scenario for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit in a cold environment well below 0°C. Once the continuous flow through the pipes stops, the pipes are prone to freezing after a short time. Even a good insulation will not stop this process, but only causes its delay. Countermeasures can be taken, such as heating of the pipes or housing of the pipes in a temperature controlled

plants in Siberia or the Arctic, were this risk also occurs. Stirrer failure will lead to some class II scenarios (critical). At the moment, a stirred tank reactor is used for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit. Experiments in the lab showed, that the heat supply of the fermentor can cause problems, when either the heat exchanging surface or the temperature probe is covered with a thick layer of sedimented substrate particles. A good mixing of the fermentor liquid is therefore of high importance. Several types of reactors are known in the field of anaerobic wastewater treatment, such as fluidized bed, USAB, EGSB, tower reactors with gas circulation (e.g. Paques IC®), and Mammut pumps (e.g. Linde Laran®). All of these reactor types do not require the usage of a stirrer. Some of these concepts, especially fluidized bed, tower reactors and Mammut pumps, seem to be fit for operation with high solid content at hyperthermophilic conditions. A overheating of the fermentor in the case of bad mixing conditions can also be circumvented by the use of more than one temperature probe or by monitoring the heat-transfer into the fermentor medium.

room. Further measures can be found in cold environments on earth, such as waste water

The irreversible inactivation of the biomass is also a critical hazard for the operation of the system. This risk in minimized by the usage of two collecting tanks. The first tank receives the substrate and feeds a small test reactor and the second tank. The second tank feeds the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit. If any toxic substances enter the first tank, the intoxication of the test fermentor will occur one hydraulic retention time before the intoxication of the big fermentor. This will give enough time to close the substrate supply to the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit.

The hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit uses two membranes, which integrity have to be ensured. The best way to prevent membranes form breakage is a close monitoring of the pressure on both sides of the membrane in the inlet and outlet. High pressure gradients can occur in the form of many hazard manifestations (HM2.2, HM2.4, HM3.1, HM3.3, and HM3.5). High pressure can be circumvented by the usage of burst disks and safety valves and a stable process control system. Fouling problems are encountered by regular cleaning. In general, membrane technology is a well established field in process technology; stable and safe systems are on the market (e.g. drinking water production form sea water)

The release of hyperthermophilic microorganisms can occur in some hazard manifestations (HM1.7, HM2.4, HM2.6, HM3.3, and HM3.5) though hyperthermophilic microorganisms all belong to the group of S1 organisms and therefore do not endanger humans or animals. If the release of microorganisms into a sterile environment cannot be accepted several counter measures are possible. Instead of single seals double seals with sealing liquid must be used. Double seals with sealing liquid are state of the art in design of bioreactors for the cultivation of non-GRAS (Generally regarded as safe) organisms. Membrane seals can be housed, so that leakage streams are gathered. Burst dirks and safety valves must not open to the environment. Instead relieve tanks are necessary, which take up any streams leaving the safety vales.

| TN 3.8 | HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| TUHH Biotech | | | | | |
| This document is confidential property of the MELiSSA partners and shall not be used, duplicated, modified or transmitted without their authorization | | | | | |
| Memorandum of Understanding TOS-MCT/2002/3161/In/CL | | | | | |

MELiSSA

MELiSSA

issue 1 revision 0 -

Task 7 Recommendation of acceptance

The hazards, which were classified unacceptable in task 5, are all acceptable now, if the measures named in task 6 are taken. Additional loops of task 5, 6 and 7 have to be done during basic and detail engineering of the plant.

Task 8 Tracking and communication of the hazards

The identified hazards may be reduced by modifying the hardware. For instance, pressure relief valves could be mounted on the bioreactor in order to prevent overpressure and possibly fracture of the fermentor.

The HACCP has to be repeated regularly, and the staff in charge of the hardware will be trained to react to prevent the occurrence of hazards and react in case of hazard occurrence.

Task 9 Acceptance of the hazards

All hazards which are currently known are acceptable.

2 BALANCE DATA FOR THE HYPERTHERMOPHILIC LIQUEFACTION UNIT

Basis for the data are 10 experiments covering a wide range of process parameters, such as temperature, pH, membrane type and area, hydraulic retention time, dialysate exchange rate, and feed concentration. The composition of the ingoing and outgoing streams is given in Tables 8-11. The provided data are calculated for a 100L- plant with an ingoing wastewater stream of 1L/h. A degradation performance of 75% is assumed. Effluent is saturated with dissolved gases (CO₂ and H₂), which are also withdrawn through the dialysis membrane. A Gas production of 0.003L/(L h) was measured. Due to the high solubility of CO₂ in the fermentation liquid the CO₂ fraction in the gas phase decreases below the stoichiometric fraction of 33% to roughly 10%. Hydrogen makes up the other 90%. (gas fractions are given in vol% or mol%)

Organically bound Oxygen and Hydrogen were not balanced, since an aqueous system is used. A mass balance model is given in TN3.9.

| Table 8: Composition of Feed | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Phase | Species | Unit | Value | | |
| Solid | Carbon | [g/L] | 6.4 | | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0.5 | | |
| | Total | [g/L] | 20 | | |
| | Biomass* | [g/L] | 0.1 | | |
| Liquid | Carbon | [g/L] | 1.6 | | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0.1 | | |
| | VFA | $[g_C/L]$ | 0.015 | | |
| | $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ | $[g_N/L]$ | 0.15 | | |
| Gaseous | Carbon | [g/L] | 0 | | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0.02 | | |
| | Oxygen | [g/L] | 0.006 | | |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech

HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit

page 23 of 23



| | Hydrogen | [g/L] | 0 |
|-------------|----------|-------|----|
| Temperature | | [°C] | 25 |
| Vol-Flow | | [L/h] | 1 |
| *mesophilic | | | |

| Table 9: Composition of Effluent | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|--|
| Phase | Species | Unit | Value | |
| Solid | Carbon | [g/L] | 1.6 | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0.1 | |
| | Total | [g/L] | 5 | |
| | Biomass* | [g/L] | 0.5 | |
| Liquid | Carbon | [g/L] | 0.4 | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0.05 | |
| | VFA | $[g_C/L]$ | 0.04 | |
| | $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ | $[g_N/L]$ | 0.01 | |
| Gaseous | Carbon | [g/L] | 0.036 | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Oxygen | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Hydrogen | [g/L] | 0.001 | |
| Temperature | | [°C] | 90 | |
| Vol-Flow | | [L/h] | 1 | |
| *hyperthermo | ophilic | | | |

| Table 10: Composition of Dialysate in | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|--|
| Phase | Species | Unit | Value | |
| Solid | Carbon | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Total | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Biomass | [g/L] | 0 | |
| Liquid | Carbon | [g/L] | 0.02 | |
| _ | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0.001 | |
| | VFA | $[g_C/L]$ | 0 | |
| | $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ | $[g_N/L]$ | 0 | |
| Gaseous | Carbon | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Oxygen | [g/L] | 0 | |
| | Hydrogen | [g/L] | 0 | |
| Temperature | | [°C] | 90 | |
| Vol-Flow | | [L/h] | 20 | |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit

page 24 of 24



| Table 11: Composition of Dialysate out | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Phase | Species | Unit | Value | | |
| Solid | Carbon | [g/L] | 0 | | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 | | |
| | Total | [g/L] | 0 | | |
| | Biomass | [g/L] | 0 | | |
| Liquid | Carbon | [g/L] | 0.3 | | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0.05 | | |
| | VFA | $[g_C/L]$ | 0.02 | | |
| | $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ | $[g_N/L]$ | 0.006 | | |
| Gaseous | Carbon | [g/L] | 0.03 | | |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 | | |
| | Oxygen | [g/L] | 0 | | |
| | Hydrogen | [g/L] | 0.001 | | |
| Temperature | | [°C] | 90 | | |
| Vol-Flow | | [L/h] | 20 | | |

| Table 11: Composition of biogas | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|
| Phase | Species | Unit | Value |
| Solid | Carbon | [g/L] | 0 |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 |
| | Total | [g/L] | 0 |
| | Biomass | [g/L] | 0 |
| Liquid | Carbon | [g/L] | 0 |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 |
| | VFA | $[g_C/L]$ | 0 |
| | $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ | $[g_N/L]$ | 0 |
| Gaseous | Carbon | [g/L] | 0.04 |
| | Nitrogen | [g/L] | 0 |
| | Oxygen | [g/L] | 0 |
| | Hydrogen | [g/L] | 0.03 |
| Temperature | | [°C] | 90 |
| Vol-Flow | | [L/h] | 1.5 |

TN 3.8 TUHH Biotech HACCP Study for the hyperthermophilic liquefaction unit